© Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY	31st December 2013 2013 ഡിസംബർ 31	No.	52
വാല്യം 2	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ	10th Pousha 1935	നമ്പർ	
,		1935 പൌഷം 10		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1867/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Unit Manager, Kerala Kaumudi Daily, Kannur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri T. K. Sivanandan, 'Sreepadmam', Nitoor P. O., Thalassery, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Sri T. K. Sivanandan, Reporter, by the Management of Kerala Kaumudi, Unit Kannur is justifiable? If not, what relief worker is entitled to?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1903/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. Dinta Antos, Proprietor, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001 (2) Sri C. D. Antos, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Anilkumar, S/o. Velayudhan, Challiyil (H), Adichili Desam, Meloor, Kunnappilli P. O., Thrissur-680 311 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Anilkumar, S/o. Velayudhan, Challiyil (H), Adichili Desam, Meloor, Kunnappilli P. O., Thrissur by the employer (Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC India, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001) is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1904/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, Lakshmi Lodge, East Nada, Guruvayur, Thrissur-680 101 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Vanijya Vyavasaya Mazdoor Sankh (B. M. S.), Patturaikkal, Thrissur-680 022 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal, will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. S. Suran by the management of Lakshmi Lodge, Guruvayur is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1907/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion industrial dispute that exists between (1) Smt. Dinta Antos, Proprietor, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001, (2) Sri C. D. Antos, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Abhilash, S/o. Aniyan, Iswaramangalam, Poolanikuruppam, Adichilideshom, Meloor, Kunnappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 311 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Abhilash, S/o. Aniyan, Iswaramangalam, Meloor, Kunnappilly P. O., Thrissur by the employer (Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001).

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1908/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Regional Manager, Supplyco, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Supplyco Workers Federation (AITUC) Thrissur District Committee, Mannadiyar Lane, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Rema Nithyan and Smt. Suseela Ramesh by the management of Supplyco Maveli Store, Peringottukara is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to get?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1909/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Smt. Dinta Antos, Proprietor, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001. (2) Sri C. D. Antos, Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer, Naikkanal Round, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Sarath S/o. Sasidharan, Vembilikunnan Veedu, Elthuruthu P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sarath by the employer Poothokaren Auto Service, HPC Dealer is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1926/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram 26th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Kovalam Hotels Limited, the Leela, Kovalam, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) Sri Ashokan Secretary, Kovalam Hotels Limited Employees Union (CITU) Vettuvila Veedu, Beach Road, Kovalam P. O., Thiruvananthapuram (2) The Secretary, INTUC Unit, Kovalam Hotels Limited, Kovalam P. O., Thiruvananthapuram, (3) The Secretary, the Leela/Kovalam Hotels Workers Union (AITUC), Kovalam P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the long term settlement signed between the parties on 18-5-2012 relating to pay revision of employees of Kovalam Hotels Limited, excluding the C. I. T. U. Union is justifiable? If not what are reliefs the Union is entitled to?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1927/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram 26th November 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Chief Engineer (H.R.M.) Kerala State Electricity Board, Vaiduthi Bhavanam, Pattom Palace P.O., Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala Electricity Workers Federation, (AITUC), Perumbavoor Division Committee Electrical Division, Perumbavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of third grade promotion to Sri V. A. Abdul Rahman by the Kerala State Electricity Board is justifiable? If not what are the benefits he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,

Under Secretary to Government.